# INVITED CONFERENCE Anchorage and laps of reinforcing steel

John Cairns



2<sup>nd</sup> generation of Eurocode 2 on concrete structures

Madrid, October 17<sup>th</sup>, 2023





Design of concrete Dottmuffulgesrgado de www.e-ache.com el 01/06/2025

EN 1992

### FprEC2:2023 Sections 11.3 & 11.4

- I. Some basics of bond behaviour
- 2. Calculation of anchorage length, straight bars in tension
- 3. Hooks, bends, U loops
- 4. Laps of bars in tension
- 5. Compression laps and anchorages
- 6. New content: Post-installed reinforcement, headed bars
- 7. Impact on design

#### Aims:

Describe change, explain rationale, quantify impact.



I.I Some basics of bond behaviour Splitting and pull-out modes







# **I.2 Some basics of bond behaviour**

Variation in stress over bond length is non-linear

Pair of lapped bars,  $\phi = 25$ mm,  $l_b = 50\phi$ ,  $\sigma_{sd} = 400$ MPa



. .

29 29 generation of Eurocode 2 on concrete structures / Madrid, October 17<sup>th</sup>, 2023

#### **Principal sources**

#### EC2:2004

Based on CEB-FIP Model Code 1978, (with some modifications)

Bulletin 72



fib

2014 Bulletin 72 Background

**FprEC2:2023** 

Bond and anchorage of embedded reinforcement: Background to the *fib* Model Code for Concrete Structures 2010

2013 MC2010 Sect. 6.1 *fib* Model Code for Concrete Structures 2010

100





#### 2.1 Calculation of anchorage lengths, straight bars

### EC2:2004

 $f_{bd} = 2,25 \eta_1 \eta_2 f_{ctd}$ 

 $I_{\rm b,rqd} = (\phi / 4) (\sigma_{\rm sd} / f_{\rm bd})$ 

 $I_{bd} = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_4 \alpha_5 I_{b,rqd} \ge I_{b,min}$ 

## **FprEC2:2023 : simplified provisions**

Table 11.1 (NDP) — Anchorage length of straight bars divi

| φ    |    |    | A  | nchorage | length Ibd/ | φ |
|------|----|----|----|----------|-------------|---|
| [mm] |    |    |    | f        | ck          |   |
|      | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35       | 40          |   |
| ≤ 12 | 47 | 42 | 38 | 36       | 33          |   |
| 14   | 50 | 44 | 41 | 38       | 35          |   |
| 16   | 52 | 46 | 42 | 39       | 37          |   |
| 20   | 56 | 50 | 46 | 42       | 40          |   |
| 25   | 60 | 54 | 49 | 46       | 43          |   |

 $\eta_1, \eta_2$  bar size, casting position  $\alpha$ : hook/bend, min. cover, transverse compression

#### Influencing parameters multiplicative

**Simplified provision** for ribbed bars  $\phi \le 32$  mm, indented bars  $\phi \le 14$  mm,  $c_d \ge 1,5\phi$ ,  $\sigma_{sd} = 435$  MPa, good bond conditions



#### 2.2 Calculation of anchorage lengths, straight bars :detailed provisions

### **FprEC2:2023 : detailed provisions**

$$l_{bd} = k_{lb} \cdot k_{cp} \cdot \phi \cdot \left(\frac{\sigma_{sd}}{435}\right)^{n_{\sigma}} \cdot \left(\frac{25}{f_{ck}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{\phi}{20}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot \left(\frac{1.5\phi}{c_{d,conf}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 10\phi \qquad (11.3)$$

$$c_{d} = \min\{0,5c_{s}; c_{x}; c_{y}; 3,75\phi\}$$

$$(\phi/20 \text{ mm}) \ge 0,6 \text{ and } (25/f_{ck}) \ge 0,3$$

$$c_{d,conf} = \min\{c_{x}; c_{y} + 25\frac{\phi_{t}^{2}}{s_{t}}; \frac{c_{s}}{2}; 3,75\phi\} + \Delta c_{d} \le 6\phi \qquad (11.4)$$

$$\Delta c_{d} = (70\rho_{conf} + 12\sigma_{ccd}/\sqrt{f_{ck}})\phi;$$



c) conditions for cd

Where transverse compression or an appreciable quantity of secondary reinforcement restrain splitting failure

Confinement parameters summative

#### 2.2a Bond length and elimination of ultimate bond strength

$$f_{stm} = 54 \left(\frac{f_{cm}}{25}\right)^{0.25} \left(\frac{l_b}{\phi}\right)^{0.55} \left(\frac{25}{\phi}\right)^{0.2} \left[\left(\frac{c_{min}}{\phi}\right)^{0.25} \left(\frac{c_{max}}{c_{min}}\right)^{0.1} + k_m K_{tr}\right]$$
 Eq 2

Eq 2 mean anchorage strength expression, from fib Bulletin 72

Gradient of straight lines represents average bond stress



#### 2.2b Influence of design strength of bar





#### 2.3 Influence of concrete strength

### EC2:2004

 $f_{bd} = 2,25 \eta_1 \eta_2 f_{ctd}$ 

Tensile strength of concrete

 $f_{ctd} = fn(f_{ck})^{0.67}$ 

=>

$$I_{bd}/\Phi = fn(f_{ck})^{0.67}$$
   
Valid only for short bond lengths

**FprEC2:2023** 

$$l_{bd} = k_{lb} \cdot k_{cp} \cdot \phi \cdot \left(\frac{\sigma_{sd}}{435}\right)^{n_{\sigma}} \cdot \left(\frac{25}{f_{ck}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{\phi}{20}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot \left(\frac{1,5\phi}{c_d}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge 10\phi$$

$$\int \frac{1}{b_d} \int \phi = fn(f_{ck})^{0.5}$$

Pair of lapped bars,  $\phi = 25$ mm,  $l_b = 20\phi$ ,  $\sigma_s = 400$ MPa

#### 2.4 Influence of bar size

### EC2:2004

 $η_2 = 1,0 \text{ for } φ ≤ 32 \text{ mm}$  $η_2 = (132 - φ)/100 \text{ for } φ > 32 \text{ mm}$ 



**FprEC2:2023** 

lbd

$$=k_{\rm lb}\cdot k_{\rm cp}\cdot \phi\cdot \left(\frac{\sigma_{\rm sd}}{435}\right)^{n_{\sigma}}\cdot \left(\frac{25}{f_{\rm ck}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{\phi}{20}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\cdot \left(\frac{1.5\phi}{c_{\rm d}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge 10\phi$$

 $\phi/20 \ge 0.6$  based on test data and lower rib area required for bars <12mm:

Value of function for bar size



#### 2.4 Influence of bar size

### EC2:2004

 $η_2 = 1,0 \text{ for } φ ≤ 32 \text{ mm}$  $η_2 = (132 - φ)/100 \text{ for } φ > 32 \text{ mm}$ 



### **FprEC2:2023**

 $l_{\rm bd} = k_{\rm lb} \cdot k_{\rm cp} \cdot \phi \cdot \left(\frac{\sigma_{\rm sd}}{435}\right)^{n_{\sigma}} \cdot \left(\frac{25}{f_{\rm ck}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{\phi}{20}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot \left(\frac{1.5\phi}{c_{\rm d}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge 10\phi$ 

 $\phi/20 \ge 0.6$  based on test data and lower rib area required for bars <12mm:

Influence on bond length, rebased to common datum (32mm)



#### 2.5 Influence of transverse compression

### EC2:2004

 $\alpha_5 = 1,0 - 0.04p$  $0.7 \le \alpha_5 \le 1.0$ 



**FprEC2:2023**  $\Delta c_{d} = (70\rho_{conf} + 12\sigma_{ccd}/\sqrt{f_{ck}})\phi;$ 

(FprEC2 relative bond length dependent on other contributions to confinement)



### 2.6 Casting position (bars < 45° to horizontal)

### EC2:2004

Coefficient  $\eta_1$  applied to bond strength:

 $\eta_1 = 1.0$  and 0.7 for good and poor bond conditions respectively.

Equivalent to 43% greater bond lengths for poor condition



## **FprEC2:2023**

Coefficient  $k_{cp}$  applied to bond length:  $k_{cp} = 1.0$  for good bond conditions  $k_{cp} = 1.2$  for poor bond conditions  $k_{cp} = 1.4$  under bentonite or similar





#### 2.6a Casting position

Top cast ratio = maximum stress anchored in bar cast near top of pour/ that in bar cast near the bottom



Wide range reported



### 2.6b Casting position



#### Analysis of distribution of bond stress through lap using MC2010 local bond-slip model

Over practical bond lengths, more uniform distribution of bond stress through a 'top cast' lap partially compensates for weaker bond strength in a 'poor' casting position



### 3 Contribution of end hooks and bends to tension anchorages

## EC2:2004

| Influencing factor | Type of anchorage  | Reinforcement bar  |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|--|--|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Shape of bars      | Straight   | $\alpha_1 = 1,0$   |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                    | Other than straight<br>(see Figure 8.1 (b),<br>(c) and (d) | $\alpha_1 = 0,7$ if $c_d > 3\phi$<br>otherwise $\alpha_1 = 1,0$<br>(see Figure 8.3 for values of $c_d$ )   |     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                    |  | 17 The 19 Control of the 19 Co | E – |  |  |  |  |  |  |

30% reduction in anchorage length for hook or bend, provided  $c_d/\phi > 3.0$ 

- Contribution of a bend increases with stress to be anchored
- No reduction in  $I_{bd}$  for  $c_d \leq 3\phi$ ?





#### 3b Contribution of end hooks and bends to tension anchorages

### EC2:2004

|                    | Tupo of opchorago  | Reinforcement bar  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Influencing factor | Type of anchorage  | In tension   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shape of bars      | Straight   | $\alpha_1 = 1,0$   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                    | Other than straight<br>(see Figure 8.1 (b),<br>(c) and (d) | $\alpha_1 = 0,7$ if $c_d > 3\phi$<br>otherwise $\alpha_1 = 1,0$<br>(see Figure 8.3 for values of $c_d$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

30% reduction in anchorage length for hook or bend, provided  $c_d/\phi > 3.0$ 

## **FprEC2:2023**



The design anchorage length may be reduced by:  $15\phi$  for standard hooks and bends  $20\phi$  for U-loops



### 4 Tension laps, straight bars

### EC2:2004

| Percentage of lapped bars relative to the total cross-section area | < 25% | 33%  | 50% | >50% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-------|------|-----|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Q <sub>6</sub>   | 1     | 1,15 | 1,4 | 1,5  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Note: Intermediate values may be determined by interpolation.      |       |      |     |      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 $I_0 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \alpha_5 \alpha_6 I_{b,rqd} \ge I_{0,min}$ 

Rules similar to anchorages but with factor  $\alpha_6$  dependent on percentage bars lapped at section

## **FprEC2:2023**

$$k_{ls} = 1,2$$

Rules similar to anchorages but with factor  $k_{ls} = 1,2$  (NDP) independent of percentage bars lapped at section





#### 4.1 Tension laps, straight bars

1975: Hydraulic pressure analogy laps vs. anchorages



Laps produce double the splitting of anchorages

 $\alpha_6$  factor in EC2:2004 inconsistent with test results







#### 4.2 Tension laps, robustness

Support moment may be redistributed by 30% (subject to limit based on section ductility)



>50% strength loss immediately after peak load

#### Explosive failure of lap, Tepfers 1974



Locate laps away from areas of high bar stress wherever possible



#### 4.2a Tension laps, robustness

### EC2:2004

#### No specific requirements

|   | 1 | + | • | 1 | + | 1 | 1 |   | • | • | 1 |  | 1 | • | 1 | • | 1 | • | 1 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| F | 1 |   |   |   | - |   |   | / |   |   |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 1 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   |   | 1 |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |   |   |   |   |   | T |   |   |
|   |   | I |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |   |   |   |   |   | I |   |   |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |  |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |

# **FprEC2:2023**

Additional requirements for laps in vicinity of plastic hinges/yield lines :

- additional confining reinforcement provided
- area lapped ≤ 35%

Alternatively

• Design for 1,2  $\sigma_{sd}$ 



### 5 Compression laps and anchorages



Strength of compression laps, all test results

# **FprEC2:2023**

Expressions 11.3 and 11.4 as for bars in tension

Design anchorage length may be reduced by  $15\phi$  provided requirements for detailing of links near ends of laps are satisfied



2<sup>nd</sup> generation of Eurocode 2 on concrete structures / madrid, October 17<sup>th</sup>, 2023

### **5b Compression laps and anchorages**



Weaker anchorage if no links near ends



Confining reinforcement to be located close to ends of compression laps





### 6 New content

#### **Bonded post-installed reinforcing steel**

Broadly consistent with cast-in, but additional requirements on anchoring mortar, cover.

Additional restrictions on concrete grade,  $\sigma_{sd}$ 

#### **Headed bars**

Head can provide full anchorage for Grade 500 bars if specified conditions of cover, spacing, head geometry, concrete strength are satisfied.

Detailed provisions for head capacity in other circumstances Additional 10% on bond length where head and straight length of bar act in combination







### 7 Impact on design

### FprEC2:2023 provisions validated against extensive sets of data



#### **Compression** laps

## 7 Impact on design

### Sample sections

Bar sizes 12 and 25 ( $c_d/\phi$  3.33 and 1.6) Concrete strength  $f_{ck}$  25MPa and 60MPa Design for  $\sigma_{sd}$  = 435 MPa





## 7.1 Impact on design

### Evolution of bar strength



1978 : *f<sub>yk</sub>* ~ 400MPa

#### Say bond length corresponds to 40¢



## 7.1 Impact on design



1978 :  $f_{yk} \sim 400$  MPa  $f_{yk}$  now  $\sim 500$  MPa EC2:2004 provisions =>  $I_{bd}$  = 50  $\phi$ a +25% increase



### 7.1 Impact on design



1978 :  $f_{yk} \sim$  400MPa  $f_{yk}$  increased to  $\sim$  500MPa EC2:2004 provisions =>  $I_{bd}$  = 50  $\varphi$ a +25% increase

But allowing for non-linear behaviour =>  $I_{bd}$  = 55.9 $\varphi$ i.e. 12% greater increase

MC2020 indicates 20% increase



## 7.2a Impact on design



#### Anchorage, good casting position

Longer anchorage lengths for larger bars





### 7.2a Impact on design



#### Anchorage, good casting position

Longer anchorage lengths for larger bars

#### Anchorage, poor casting position

*Little difference larger bars, shorter small bars* 



### 7.2b Impact on design



#### Hook/bent bar, good casting position

#### Shorter anchorage lengths

Anchorage subject to 1.0 MPa transverse compression, good casting position Shorter anchorage lengths



### 7.2c Impact on design



Tension lap, good casting position, 100% lapped Generally slightly shorter lap lengths Compression lap, good casting position

Considerably shorter lap lengths



# **Summary**

- 1. Extensive revision of section on anchorage and laps of reinforcing steel in FprEC2:2023
- 2. *fib* Bulletin 72 has formed the basis for many of the revised provisions
- 3. 'Bond strength' eliminated, non-linear variation in capacity with bond length recognized, hence bond lengths now calculated directly
- 4. Look-up table for routine situations, detailed expressions account for greater (or lesser) confinement from cover, transverse reinforcement or transverse pressure
- 5. Hooks/bends contribute an equivalent straight bond length, reduced influence of poor casting position, etc.
- 6. Compression laps/anchorage treated in a more consistent and rational manner
- 7. New content on PIR, headed bars, assessment
- 8. Typically modest increase in design anchorage lengths of larger size straight bars, reductions for bent/hooked bars or U-loops, bars in compression



# Thank you for your attention

John Cairns



CCHE HA Documento descargado de www.e-2che.com el 01/06/29/25 Decumento descargado de www.e-2che.com el 01/06/29/25 Tradrid, October 17th, 2023